Combining recessions (nystagmus and strabismus) with tenotomy improved visual function and decreased oscillopsia and diplopia in acquired downbeat nystagmus and in horizontal infantile nystagmus syndrome
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PURPOSE
To investigate the effects of combined tenotomy and recession procedures on both acquired downbeat nystagmus and horizontal infantile nystagmus.

METHODS
Patient 1 had downbeat nystagmus with a chin-down (upgaze) position, oscillopsia, strabismus, and diplopia. Asymmetric superior rectus recessions and inferior rectus tenotomies reduced right hypertropia and rotated both eyes downward. Patient 2 had horizontal infantile nystagmus, a 20° left-eye exotropia, and alternating (abducting-eye) fixation. Lateral rectus recessions and medial rectus tenotomies were performed. Horizontal and vertical eye movements were recorded pre- and postsurgically using high-speed digital video. The eXpanded Nystagmus Acuity Function (NAFX) and nystagmus amplitudes and frequencies were measured.

RESULTS
Patient 1: The NAFX peak moved from 10° up to primary position where NAFX values improved 17% and visual acuity increased 25%. Vertical NAFX increased across the −10° to +5° vertical range. Primary-position right hypertropia decreased ~50%; foveation time per cycle increased 102%; vertical amplitude, oscillopsia, and diplopia were reduced, and frequency was unchanged. Patient 2: Two lateral, narrow high-NAFX regions (due to alternating fixation) became one broad region with a 43% increase in primary position (acuity increased ~92.3%). Diplopia amplitude decreased; convergence and gaze holding were improved. Primary-position right exotropia was reduced; foveation time per cycle increased 257%; horizontal-component amplitude decreased 45.7%, and frequency remained unchanged.

CONCLUSIONS
Combining tenotomy with nystagmus or strabismus recession procedures increased NAFX and visual acuities and reduced diplopia and oscillopsia in downbeat nystagmus and infantile nystagmus.

Previous investigations have demonstrated the efficacy of recessions,1 resections,2 or both (Anderson-Kestenbaum)3 to treat infantile nystagmus. More recently, four-muscle tenotomy was shown to improve nystagmus waveforms and broaden the range of gaze angles with improved waveforms.4–7 It was also reported to be effective in reducing acquired pendular nystagmus and oscillopsia in a patient with multiple sclerosis.8

Surgical treatment of nystagmus should be tailored to the eye-movement and clinical characteristics of each individual patient. In this study, we investigated surgical treatments with recessions combined with tenotomy. We chose two patients with both different types of nystagmus and clinical characteristics. In one patient, tenotomy was combined with bilateral inferior rectus recessions (a vertical Anderson nystagmus procedure) to improve acquired downbeat nystagmus, plus a small unilateral inferior rectus recession (a strabismus procedure) to improve a vertical tropia. In the other, it was combined with bilateral lateral rectus recessions (a strabismus procedure) to improve horizontal infantile nystagmus. The nystagmus caused oscillopsia in the downbeat nystagmus patient and reduced visual acuity in both. The postsurgical changes of different aspects of the nystagmus, tenotomy’s broadening effect in
particular, were evaluated using the eXpanded Nystagmus Acuity Function (NAFX).

**Subjects and Methods**

**Recording**

The digital video system (EyeLink II, SR Research, Mississauga, ON, Canada) had a linear range of ±30° horizontally and ±20° vertically. System sampling frequency was 500 Hz, and gaze position accuracy error was 0.5° to 1° on average. The data were digitized at 500 Hz with 16-bit resolution. The Eyelink signal from each eye was calibrated with the other eye behind cover to obtain accurate position information; the foveation periods were used for calibration.9 Monocular primary-position adjustments for all methods allowed accurate position information and documentation of small tropias and phorias hidden by the nystagmus.

**Protocol**

This study was approved by the local institutional review board and written consent was obtained from each subject before the testing. All test procedures were carefully explained to the subject before the experiment began and were reinforced with verbal commands during the trials. Subjects were seated in a chair with headrest and a chin stabilizer, far enough from the stimulus screen to prevent convergence effects (>5 feet). At this distance the light-emitting diode subtended less than 0.1° of visual angle. The room light could be adjusted from dim down to blackout to minimize extraneous visual stimuli. An experiment consisted of from 8 to 10 trials, each lasting under a minute with time allowed between trials for the subject to rest. Trials were kept this short to guard against boredom because infantile nystagmus intensity is known to decrease with inattention.

**Analysis**

All the analyses were unmasked and done in MATLAB environment (The MathWorks, Natick, MA) using OMLAB software (OMtools, available from http://www.omlab.org). Only eye position was sampled directly; velocity was derived from the position data by a fourth-order central-point differentiator. Position data were prefiltered with a low-pass filter with the cutoff frequency of 20 Hz to eliminate noise without changing the nystagmus signals to be studied. The differentiating and filtering were applied equally to the pre- and postsurgical data sets to ensure consistency. Analysis was always performed on the fixating eye. The postsurgical records for Patient 1 were obtained 6 weeks after the procedure; for Patient 2, 8 weeks. It was reported that visual functions were stable within this time period.6

The NAFX was used to measure tenotomy-induced changes in the infantile nystagmus in primary position and various gaze angles. It is an objective measure of waveform quality and a predictor of potential visual acuity for nystagmus patients assuming that no afferent deficits are present. It can be applied to any nystagmus waveform whose foveation variability (position and velocity) lies within the maximum foveation window of ±6° and ±10°/second. Five or more primary-position NAFXs for each patient in this study were calculated and averaged; the segments chosen avoided blinks and inattention periods. At each gaze angle, two or three Nafx calculations were made and averaged, depending on the data availability. When choosing the segments, we maintained consistency in the pre- and postsurgical data sets; that is, the best segments for foveation were chosen, so that the NAFX average would reflect the best potential visual acuity for the patient. This methodology duplicates that used in masked clinical trials.6,7 Two outputs will be listed and discussed in the results section. Details about the NAFX may be found elsewhere.10

Primary-position visual acuities were obtained by the referring physician (Snellen chart at distance and near card using Jaeger scale). Potential visual acuities were provided by the NAFX program as a comparison. Stereopsis was measured by FIG 1. NAFX-program outputs comparing pre- and postsurgical waveforms from Patient 1 during left-eye fixation with both eyes open, in primary position (due to a small, prior head movement between recording trials, the postsurgical data were at −2.5°). Left columns are presurgical data and right columns are postsurgical data. Velocity traces (upper row) and position traces scaled for ease of interpretation (lower row) are shown. In each subplot, the NAFX-algorithm-determined foveation periods satisfying the foveation-window criteria are shown thickened. NAFX values for each corresponding segment are noted on the plots.
Titmus fly and Randot Stereo Tests (Stereo Optical, Chicago, IL). Pre- and postsurgical peak-to-peak amplitude in primary position was measured by taking the average of 16 amplitude measurements from different nystagmus cycles. The samples were chosen with the same criteria as described previously for the NAFX analysis. Second-order polynomial curves were fitted to pre- and postsurgical NAFX values at each gaze angle. Eye movement movies were made from primary-position fixation data with custom-defined MATLAB programs.

Results

Patient 1

The first patient was a 44-year-old man who had intractable oscillopsia for 14 years that was resistant to drug therapy. He preferred left-eye fixation; he had stereopsis of 50° of arc, rightward internuclear ophthalmoplegia, and predominantly vertical nystagmus (downbeat nystagmus). The patient also had right-eye hypertropia of 7° and esotropia of 3° to 5°. He exhibited a marked chin-down position. Eye-muscle surgery was done 12 years prior for diplopia from a traumatic fourth-nerve palsy, but no surgical notes could be obtained. At the time of our surgery no evidence of conjunctival scarring was evident and the operated muscles were in their normal anatomic positions, indicating that surgery on these muscles had not been done previously. Superior rectus muscles were recessed asymmetrically (left, 5 mm and right, 6 mm) to simultaneously rotate both eyes 10° downward (5 mm both eyes) and reduce the right hypertropia (1 mm right eye); both IR muscles were tenotomized to complete the four-muscle tenotomy. Thus, a vertical (Anderson + strabismus + tenotomy) procedure was performed.

Following the combined procedure, the patient’s primary-position NAFX values were improved by 17%; foveation time per cycle increased 102% from 88 to 178 ms, and visual acuity increased 25% from 20/25 to 20/20 + 1. Vertical-component amplitude was reduced by 46%, and frequency was unchanged at ~3 Hz. Vertical NAFX values increased across the −10° to +5° vertical range, suggesting improved visual function. As is shown in Figure 1, in the primary position, the postsurgical foveation periods were longer and better aligned. The NAFX values for these intervals increased 29.6% from 0.652 to 0.845. Similar changes at 10° downgaze are shown in Figure 2, with the NAFX values increased 87.5% from 0.391 to 0.733.

The broadening effect may be observed in the patient’s NAFX versus gaze angle curves, as shown in Figure 3. His NAFX peak was moved from 10° up to primary position (eliminating the chin-down position) and the curve was effectively broadened, increasing the patient’s high-acuity region. The right hypertropia was reduced to 3 to 4° and stereopsis was maintained.
We used data from primary-position foveation to drive the eyes in Video 1 (available at jaapos.org), demonstrating the clinical impression of postsurgical effects. The same data were used for demonstrating the amplitude reduction and foveation improvement in Video 2 (available at jaapos.org). Both movies were made at half-speed. Note that the vertical component is dominant. The reduction of the amplitude postsurgically is evident on both movies. The phase planes in Video 2, which shows the time spent within the foveation window, demonstrate that the reduction of downbeat nystagmus and the improvement of postsurgical foveation quality greatly contribute to the elevation of patient’s visual function. The patient also reported decreased frequency of diplopia and improvement in oscillopsia.

**Patient 2**

The second patient was a 49-year-old man who had predominantly horizontal infantile nystagmus syndrome and diplopia. The patient preferred left-eye viewing in left gaze, right-eye viewing in right gaze. Stereopsis was $>3000^\circ$ of arc. There was a left-eye exotropia of $20^\circ$. A horizontal (tenotomy + recession) procedure was performed, with both lateral rectus muscles recessed 8 mm and both medial rectus muscles tenotomized.

The procedure transformed two lateral, narrow NAFX versus gaze angle curves (due to fixating-eye changes) into one broad curve with a 43% increase in primary position where acuity increased 92.3%, from 20/150 to 20/80. There was a slight decrement in left gaze. In primary position, foveation time per cycle increased 257.4%, from 35.5 to 126.9 ms; horizontal-component amplitude was reduced by 45.7%, and frequency remained unchanged at $\sim 4$ Hz. Fixation data of left-eye viewing in primary position is shown in Figure 4; there was a 550% NAFX increase postsurgically (from 0.107 to 0.696). In Figure 5, which is right-eye viewing...
The right exotropia was reduced to converge was regained, and to hold gaze was improved.

Acuity in primary position for either eye.

Acuity across the central 30° was improved, especially the right eye for all targets to the right of center, the potential still preferred for all targets to the left of center and the position greatly increased. Thus, although the left eye was formed into one broad peak, with the NAFX in primary position (available at www.jaapos.org) versus visual acuity line (established in previous studies from normal population data\(^8\) is plotted along with data points from both patients. Previous studies demonstrated the linear relationship between the NAFX values and the potential visual acuity of individuals with nystagmus, in the presence of a constant, or minimally changing, deficit in the afferent visual system.\(^10\) Patient 1 had no afferent deficits and the data points for potential and measured acuities were close to each other; they overlapped for the postsurgical data. For Patient 2, the vertical difference between the potential and the measured values reflects the decrement in acuity due to the afferent visual system deficits. This difference was relatively fixed during the course of our study; therefore, the decrement was preserved postsurgically. By drawing a second (dashed) line with the same slope as the age-adjusted line through the presurgical measured-acuity data point, we found that the postsurgical data fell along this line of estimated, measured visual acuity. Note that the intersection of the dashed line with the NAFX value of 1.0 (ie, no nystagmus) is \(\sim 20/25\), indicating that even if the nystagmus was abolished, the visual acuity would still be reduced by the afferent visual system deficit. In both patients (one with an afferent visual deficit and one without, one acquired and one infantile), the preoperative NAFX and measured acuity values predicted both the best potential visual acuities (as they were designed to do) and the estimated postoperative measured acuities. Our prior publications on the NAFX have also demonstrated many patients’ NAFX and acuity values fall on or near the appropriate age-determined line.

Because it is impossible to recess or resect a muscle without an obligate tenotomy, one could not separate out the effects of the muscle-moving and muscle-detaching components of either. Sequentially recessing or resecting two muscles and then tenotomizing the other two can only differentiate the combined recession or resection plus tenotomies from the additional two tenotomies. However, prior studies, using four-muscle tenotomies alone, demonstrated that the waveform improvements and “null” broadening effects of Anderson-Kestenbaum procedures were due solely to the obligate tenotomies, ie, the resections and resections only shifted the “null” position. Thus, in these two patients, we also attribute the improvements in waveforms and the broadening of the “null” regions to the four-muscle tenotomy component of each procedure.

In Figure 7, the age-adjusted NAFX (40- to 60-year-old group) versus visual acuity line (established in previous studies from normal population data\(^8\)) is plotted along with data points from both patients. Previous studies demonstrated the linear relationship between the NAFX values and the potential visual acuity of individuals with nystagmus, in the presence of a constant, or minimally changing, deficit in the afferent visual system.\(^10\) Patient 1 had no afferent deficits and the data points for potential and measured acuities were close to each other; they overlapped for the postsurgical data. For Patient 2, the vertical difference between the potential and the measured values reflects the decrement in acuity due to the afferent visual system deficits. This difference was relatively fixed during the course of our study; therefore, the decrement was preserved postsurgically. By drawing a second (dashed) line with the same slope as the age-adjusted line through the presurgical measured-acuity data point, we found that the postsurgical data fell along this line of estimated, measured visual acuity. Note that the intersection of the dashed line with the NAFX value of 1.0 (ie, no nystagmus) is \(\sim 20/25\), indicating that even if the nystagmus was abolished, the visual acuity would still be reduced by the afferent visual system deficit. In both patients (one with an afferent visual deficit and one without, one acquired and one infantile), the preoperative NAFX and measured acuity values predicted both the best potential visual acuities (as they were designed to do) and the estimated postoperative measured acuities. Our prior publications on the NAFX have also demonstrated many patients’ NAFX and acuity values fall on or near the appropriate age-determined line.

It was previously reported that, in addition to elevating primary-position NAFX, tenotomy also broadens the high-NAFX regions in infantile nystagmus patients, therefore resulting in improvements in visual function beyond primary-position acuity.\(^11\) The broadening effect was also observed in the two patients in our study. The types of nystagmus that tenotomy has now been demonstrated to be effective include infantile nystagmus syndrome (plus asymmetric (a)periodic alternating nystagmus), acquired pendular nystagmus, downbeat nystagmus, and seesaw nystagmus.\(^6\)\(^8\)\(^12\) Because each of these types of nystagmus has a different mechanism and putative anatomical site, it is unlikely that tenotomy affects central signals. We pre-

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of combined tenotomy on two different kinds of nystagmus. Listed in Table 1 is a comparison of the two patients’ clinical profiles. They have different nystagmus types, planes, sensory deficits, and presurgical visual acuities; however, they both benefited from the combined procedures, in primary position and lateral gaze, as shown in Table 2.

Because it is impossible to recess or resect a muscle without an obligate tenotomy, one could not separate out the effects of the muscle-moving and muscle-detaching components of either. Sequentially recessing or resecting two muscles and then tenotomizing the other two can only differentiate the combined recession or resection plus tenotomies from the additional two tenotomies. However, prior studies, using four-muscle tenotomies alone, demonstrated that the waveform improvements and “null” broadening effects of Anderson-Kestenbaum procedures were due solely to the obligate tenotomies, ie, the resections and resections only shifted the “null” position. Thus, in these two patients, we also attribute the improvements in waveforms and the broadening of the “null” regions to the four-muscle tenotomy component of each procedure.

In Figure 7, the age-adjusted NAFX (40- to 60-year-old group) versus visual acuity line (established in previous studies from normal population data\(^8\)) is plotted along with data points from both patients. Previous studies demonstrated the linear relationship between the NAFX values and the potential visual acuity of individuals with nystagmus, in the presence of a constant, or minimally changing, deficit in the afferent visual system.\(^10\) Patient 1 had no afferent deficits and the data points for potential and measured acuities were close to each other; they overlapped for the postsurgical data. For Patient 2, the vertical difference between the potential and the measured values reflects the decrement in acuity due to the afferent visual system deficits. This difference was relatively fixed during the course of our study; therefore, the decrement was preserved postsurgically. By drawing a second (dashed) line with the same slope as the age-adjusted line through the presurgical measured-acuity data point, we found that the postsurgical data fell along this line of estimated, measured visual acuity. Note that the intersection of the dashed line with the NAFX value of 1.0 (ie, no nystagmus) is \(\sim 20/25\), indicating that even if the nystagmus was abolished, the visual acuity would still be reduced by the afferent visual system deficit. In both patients (one with an afferent visual deficit and one without, one acquired and one infantile), the preoperative NAFX and measured acuity values predicted both the best potential visual acuities (as they were designed to do) and the estimated postoperative measured acuities. Our prior publications on the NAFX have also demonstrated many patients’ NAFX and acuity values fall on or near the appropriate age-determined line.

It was previously reported that, in addition to elevating primary-position NAFX, tenotomy also broadens the high-NAFX regions in infantile nystagmus patients, therefore resulting in improvements in visual function beyond primary-position acuity.\(^11\) The broadening effect was also observed in the two patients in our study. The types of nystagmus that tenotomy has now been demonstrated to be effective include infantile nystagmus syndrome (plus asymmetric (a)periodic alternating nystagmus), acquired pendular nystagmus, downbeat nystagmus, and seesaw nystagmus.\(^6\)\(^8\)\(^12\) Because each of these types of nystagmus has a different mechanism and putative anatomical site, it is unlikely that tenotomy affects central signals. We pre-
Previously hypothesized that tenotomy works by changing the proprioceptively controlled resting tension in the extraocular muscles, thereby reducing the small-signal (ie nystagmus slow-phase) gain of the ocular motor plant. We subsequently demonstrated that large signals, that is, saccades, were not affected by tenotomy. Recent studies on palisade endings and their proprioceptive role in the extraocular muscles have supported the proposed mechanism for tenotomy’s effects and suggested anatomical sites for ocular motor proprioceptors.

The combined procedures reduced acquired nystagmus and oscillopsia and improved visual acuity in both downbeat nystagmus (Patient 1) and infantile nystagmus (Patient 2). Because binocularity was not restored, the improvement in nystagmus at far cannot be attributed to convergence damping. Since the muscle insertions are several millimeters anterior to the equator of the eyes, small-to-moderate recessions do not diminish muscle action until the eye is moved into the field of action of that muscle. Thus, the damping of small signals in primary position (eg, nystagmus) was not due to mechanical changes secondary to recession. The postsurgical improvements demonstrated the necessity of tailoring each procedure based on the evaluation of the idiosyncratic eye-movement characteristics. We believe that combined muscle-movement (for nystagmus “null” shifting or strabismus correction) and tenotomy (for nystagmus damping and waveform quality improvement) procedures produce more effective and individualized nystagmus treatments.

### Table 1. Patients’ clinical profile and eye-movement characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nystagmus type</th>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Strabismus</th>
<th>Sensory deficit</th>
<th>Primary position visual acuity</th>
<th>Primary position NAFX</th>
<th>NAFX peak</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>Acquired DBN Vertical</td>
<td>ET</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>−10°</td>
<td>Diplopia oscillopsia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>INS Horizontal</td>
<td>XT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20/150</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>LE: &gt;20°</td>
<td>RE: 15°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Summary of postsurgical changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>NAFX</th>
<th>Potential acuity</th>
<th>Measured acuity</th>
<th>Foveation time per cycle (ms)</th>
<th>Amplitude (°pp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>Presurgical</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.852 (20/23)</td>
<td>0.8 (20/25)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postsurgical</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.997 (20/20)</td>
<td>1.0 + (20/20 + 1)</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage change</td>
<td>+17%</td>
<td>+17%</td>
<td>+25%</td>
<td>+102%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>Presurgical</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.502 (20/40)</td>
<td>0.13 (20/150)</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postsurgical</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.716 (20/28)</td>
<td>0.25 (20/80)</td>
<td>126.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage change</td>
<td>+43%</td>
<td>+43%</td>
<td>+92.3%</td>
<td>+257%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measurements were all obtained in primary position.

![FIG 7. NAFX versus visual acuity, age-adjusted line with pre- and postsurgical data points for both potential and measured acuities for both patients. Patient 1, open symbols: plus sign, presurgical; cross, postsurgical; circle, postsurgical measured acuities. Patient 2, solid symbols: diamond, presurgical; up-triangle, postsurgical potential acuities; square, presurgical; and down-triangle, postsurgical measured acuities.](https://example.com/figure7.png)
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**First Person**

Camille had surgery for eyes that wandered outward. As she slept in the recovery room that morning, I warned her mother about a typical early response to the surgery—her daughter might see double for a few days. When Camille arrived at home that afternoon, she was delighted. “Doctor Hunter gave me MAGIC EYES!” she exclaimed. “I see TWO mommies!” She went about her activities for the day, even took a short bike ride to see the new magical world, but she tired quickly and went to bed early. The next morning, she was still seeing double, but was less than delighted. “I don’t like these magic eyes, mommy. I want my old eyes back.”

Fortunately, the double vision had worn off by the afternoon. Mother said, “I had gotten so used to her eyes being ‘off’ all of the time. But today, for the first time ever, I feel like I was able to look my daughter in the eyes, and she looked right back at me. She does not have ‘off’ eyes anymore, or ‘magic’ eyes, she just has beautiful eyes.”

—David G. Hunter, MD, PhD
The word “downgaze” should be corrected to “upgaze” on page 137 Figure legend 3.